

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

TO: Washington Supreme Court
FROM: Clay Vinson Haynes

Sept 27, 2024

RECEIVED
OCT - 3 2024

Washington State
Supreme Court

RE: Public Comment
on Indigent Counsel Case limits

Respectfully, Let the Court hear
from one of the People with actual standing
and evidence of prejudice that appears pro-se.

Clay Vinson Haynes, hereafter Mr.
Haynes, has personally Suffered now
seven plus years once Dec 5, 2024 passes
under unlawful conviction actually being
innocent Yet being ignored as punishment for
finally acting pro-se due to Suffering 5
indigent Counsels that "Refused" to act in
Mr Haynes' interest and provide aggressive
pre-trial motions, adversary challenge or
meaningful representation.

Then, the attorney's made such claims
"There is no case law supporting": "Why try" Motions"
that the courts just denie anyway".. Even
the third attorney

1 of -

1 went as far to E-mail the states attorney
2 and make the state "aware" of verbal,
3 "Talismanic" motions not supported by case
4 law and "It would take less time to do
5 these verbal motions than the E-mail to the
6 state took to type".
7

8 Mr Prince, after taking a few months
9 of my time, overruling my time for trial
10 objection claiming for good cause to be
11 able to provide decent counsel, then
12 withdrew because he told me he was
13 overbooked and wanted to focus on his
14 Yakima court case load.
15

16 Mr Hansen, after refusing to review
17 my pre-trial claims, then told me that
18 he was Not aware "Habeas Corpus" was
19 even available in Washington Courts.
20

21 The Superior court judges even
22 exasperate the overload by seeming to
23 be aware of issue(s) that entitle the
24 accused to "dismissal" but yet chose
25 "That's what Appeals are for".

1 Mr Haynes, by necessity, chose prose but
2 then the Courts denied indigent requests
3 for relevant records disclosing the trial Court
4 was ousted of authority as early as 2018,

5 The trial court abused its discretion
6 to avoid the merits by summarily dismissal
7 of prose pleadings without requiring state's
8 response; which supports the overloaded
9 indigent Counsel's multiple comments of "futility"

10 furthermore, despite the prosecutor's
11 duties (as publically advertised) their Not compelled
12 to change anything due to the endless profits
13 created by mass incarceration of the ignorant
14 public, people that do not realize what has
15 been done and remain incarcerated by
16 Unlawful procedure rather than Justice.

17
18 Mr Haynes, Case # 38730-5-III may be the
19 tip of the iceberg: this Unlawful dragnet
20 will eventually prejudice more educated
21 citizens and the costs to Washington state
22 tax payers will be tremendous.

23 Mr Haynes, is willing to appear for
24 more discussion as the court may require.

25 Clay Vinson Haynes
Patriot



THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT 

© USPS 2019

Clay Haynes
898224-GB251L
Coyote Ridge Corrections Center
P.O. Box 769
Connell WA + 99326
Public Comment
Indigent Counsel
"Case limits"

THIS WAS MAILED BY AN INCARCERATED
INDIVIDUAL CONFINED AT A
WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS FACILITY. ITS CONTENTS
MAY BE UNCENSORED.

SPOKANE WA 990

30 SEP 2024 PM 3 L



Washington Supreme Ct.
P.O. Box 40929
Olympia WA, 98504-0929

985040929 8001

